

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/054,603 01/22/2002 Norbert Kreutz B06090-E 9324 7590 EXAMINER 12/03/2004 The Gillette Company DAWSON, GLENN K Patent Department ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Prudential Tower Building Boston, MA 02199 3731

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		O(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/054,603	KREUTZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Glenn K Dawson	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 M</u>	<u>arch 2004</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
	n punto Quayio, 1000 C.B. 11, 4	00 0.0.270.
Disposition of Claims	analization	
4) Claim(s) <u>24-34 and 37-52</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-34,37 and 39-51</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38 and 52</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
,	arriller. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
application from the International Bureau		ou iii tiilo tutional otago
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/054,603

Art Unit: 3731

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 38 and 52 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 36 and 40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,083,233 in view of DeMeester-4, 960,422.

The claims of the patent claim (or would include due to inherency) all of the claimed elements of the application claims with the exception of the control means. DeMeester discloses an equivalent control means for a depilation device for actuating tweezer blades to pinch upon adjacent other blades to trap therebetween and pull out the hairs upon sufficient rotation of the tweezer roller. It would have been obvious to have provided the device claimed in the patent with the control means of DeMeester, as this has been shown to be an effective means by which to cause the necessary relative movement between adjacent tweezers to trap and pull out hair therewith.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 24-34,37 and 39-51 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,603

Art Unit: 3731

Interference

Claims 38 and 52 of this application have been copied by the applicant from U. S. Patent No. 6176862. This claim is not patentable to the applicant because it is rejectable under obvious double patenting as noted above.

An interference cannot be initiated since a prerequisite for interference under 37 CFR 1.606 is that the claim be patentable to the applicant subject to a judgment in the interference.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 38 and 52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K Dawson whose telephone number is 703-308-4304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,603

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 25 November 2004